Message Text

PAGE 01 NATO 04091 041856Z

43

ACTION ACDA-10

INFO OCT-01 EUR-12 ISO-00 ACDE-00 SSO-00 NSCE-00 USIE-00

INRE-00 ERDA-05 CIAE-00 H-02 INR-07 IO-10 L-03

NSAE-00 OIC-02 OMB-01 PA-01 PM-03 PRS-01 SAJ-01

SAM-01 SP-02 SS-15 TRSE-00 NSC-05 ERDE-00 NRC-05 /087 W ------ 071875

O R 041645Z AUG 75
FM USMISSION NATO
TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 3012
SECDEF WASHDC IMMEDIATE
INFO/RUFHMB/USDEL MBFR VIENNA 1309
AMEMBASSY BONN
AMEMBASSY LONDON
USNMR SHAPE
USCINCEUR

SECRETUSNATO 4091

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: PARM, NATO, MBFR

SUBJECT: MBFR: OPTION III: NON-US ALLIED EQUIPMENT

REF: STATE 182851

1. REFTEL, PARA 1C, REQUESTED UK CLARIFICATION OF THE MEANING OF THE WORDS "EXPLICIT LIMITATIONS" IN THE UK SENTENCE "EASTERN DEMANDS FOR EXPLICIT LIMITATIONS ON NON-US ALLIED TANKS SHOULD BE FIRMLY RESISTED." REF A ASKED IF THIS MEANT UK WOULD ACCEPT IMPLICIT LIMITATIONS, AND STATED WASHINGTON WISHED TO SEE REPORT OF UK CLARIFICATION AND ALLIED VIEWS BEFORE ACCEPTING THE UK SENTENCE.

2. WE NOTE THAT THE US IN PARA 44 OF THE "US VIEWS" PAPER STATED:
"THE ALLIANCE SHOULD AVOID ANY COMMITMENT IN PHASE I TO EXPLICIT
LIMITS ON NON-US ARMAMENTS." THE MC COMMENT (CMCM-96-75), PARA
13, REPEATED THE US RECOMMENDATION TO AVOID COMMITMENT IN PHASE I
TO "EXPLICIT LIMITS" ON NON-US NATO ARMAMENTS, AND ENDORSED
SECRET

PAGE 02 NATO 04091 041856Z

THIS RECOMMENDATION. THUS, WE BELIEVE UK IS REFERRING TO "EXPLICIT LIMITATIONS" MAY ONLY BE PICKING UP THIS PHRASEOLOGY. IF THE US WERE NOW TO QUESTION WHAT THE UK MENAT BY "EXPLICIT LIMITATIONS", AND WHETHER THAT MEANT UK WOULD ACCEPT IMPLICIT

LIMITATIONS, THE OTHER ALLIES WOULD WONDER WHAT THE US MEANT WHEN IT PREVIOUSLY USED "EXPLICIT LIMITS", AND WHTHER THE US IS INTERESTED IN IMPLICIT LIMITATIONS (OTHER THAN MANPOWER NO-INCREASE COMMITMENTS).

3. WE BELIEVE, THEREFORE, THAT THE US SHOULD NOT RAISE THE QUESTION IN REFTEL, PARA 1 C, UNCLESS WE ARE PREPARED TO ANSWER THE QUESTIONS RAISED IN LAST SENTENCE OF PARA 2 ABOVE. IF WASHINGTON WISHES US TO DO SO, WE CAN RAISE THE QUESTION AT SPC MEETING THRUSDAY, AUGUST 7.

4. ACTION REQUESTED: GUIDANCE ON THIS POINT IN TIME FOR SPC MEETING AUGUST 7. STREATOR

SECRET

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X Capture Date: 18 AUG 1999 Channel Indicators: n/a

Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Concepts: n/a Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 04 AUG 1975 Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960 Decaption Note: Disposition Action: RELEASED Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: izenbei0
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004

Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a Disposition Reason: **Disposition Remarks:**

Document Number: 1975NATO04091 Document Source: ADS

Document Unique ID: 00

Drafter: n/a Enclosure: n/a

Executive Order: 11652 GDS

Errors: n/a Film Number: n/a From: NATO

Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

Legacy Key: link1975/newtext/t19750897/abbrzlim.tel Line Count: 71

Locator: TEXT ON-LINE

Office: n/a

Original Classification: SECRET Original Handling Restrictions: n/a Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 2

Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: SECRET Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a Reference: STATE 182851 Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED Review Authority: izenbei0

Review Comment: n/a Review Content Flags: Review Date: 08 APR 2003

Review Event:

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <08 APR 2003 by Izenbel0>; APPROVED <24 SEP 2003 by izenbei0>

Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JÚL 2006

Review Media Identifier: Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: MBFR: OPTION III: NON-US ALLIED EQUIPMENT

TAGS: PARM, NATO, MBFR To: STATE

SECDEF Type: TE

Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006